arXiv:0805.4237v2 [gr-qc] 11 Jun 2009

Relativistic transport theory for simple fluids to first order in the gradients

A. Sandoval-Villalbazo[1], A. L. Garcia-Perciante[2], L. S. Garcia-Colin[3]

[1]*Depto. de Fisica y Matematicas, Universidad Iberoamericana, Prolongacion Paseo de la Reforma 880, Mexico D. F. 01219, Mexico.* [2]*Depto. de Matematicas Aplicadas y Sistemas, Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana-Cuajimalpa, Artificios 40 Mexico D.F 01120, Mexico.* [3]*Depto. de Fisica, Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Av. Purisima y Michoacan S/N, Mexico D. F. 09340, Mexico. Also at El Colegio Nacional, Luis Gonzalez Obregon 23, Centro Historico, Mexico D. F. 06020, Mexico*

Abstract

In this paper we show how using a relativistic kinetic equation the ensuing expression for the heat flux can be casted in the form required by Classical Irreversible Thermodynamics. Indeed, it is linearly related to the temperature and number density gradients and not to the acceleration as the so called ¨first order in the gradients¨ theories propose. Since the specific expressions for the transport coefficients are irrelevant for our purposes, the BGK form of the kinetic equation is used. Moreover, from the resulting hydrodynamic equations it is readily seen that the equilibrium state is stable in the presence of the spontaneous fluctuations in the transverse hydrodynamic velocity mode of the simple relativistic fluid. The implications of this result are thoroughly discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal paper on classical irreversible relativistic thermodynamics, C. Eckart in 1949 [1] introduced a bold assumption which has had a profound influence on the subject. Indeed, in order to account for dissipative effects in a non-ideal (viscous) fluid he introduced the heat flow present in the fluid as part of Einstein's matter-stress tensor $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$. Being heat a non-mechanical form of energy, this has raised a rather strong debate about the physical meaning of such an assumption [2]-[5]. Moreover, his formalism often referred to as a *first order theory* [6, 7] has given rise to additional controversies. In a classical work Hiscock and Lindblom [8] showed that in the resulting linearized version of the hydrodynamic equations, the fluctuations in the transverse mode of the hydrodynamic velocity grow exponentially with time. This is a consequence of the fact that Eckart, in view of his assumption, was rather forced to introduce a constitutive equation for the heat flow $J^{\alpha}_{[Q]}$ given by the equation

$$J^{\alpha}_{[Q]} = -\kappa \left(h^{\alpha\beta} T_{,\beta} - \frac{T}{c^2} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \right) \tag{1}$$

where κ is the heat conductivity, T is the local temperature, $h^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{c^2} u^{\alpha} u_{\beta}$ is the spatial projector, u^{α} is the hydrodynamic velocity and $\dot{u}^{\alpha}$ its proper time derivative. Also c is the speed of light and $u^{\alpha} u_{\alpha} = -c^2$. Notice further that Eq. (1) is at odds with the basic tenets of linear irreversible thermodynamics (LIT). In fact, this formalism requires that constitutive equations linearly relate the forces with the fluxes [9, 10]. This requirement is met by the first term in Eq. (1) but certainly not by the second where neither T/c^2 nor $\dot{u}^{\alpha}$ represent a thermodynamic force or a flux. Further, physical insight of this feature of Eckart's formalism has recently been discussed elsewhere [11].

The purpose of this work is to show that Eq. (1) does not necessarily follow from a relativistic kinetic theory treatment. Moreover, we establish an expression

relating the heat flux and its thermodynamic forces, *to first order in the gradients*, that leads to an exponential decay in the spontaneous fluctuations for the transverse hydrodynamic velocity mode. To accomplish this task in Sect. II we derive the set of linearized hydrodynamic equations which result from a relativistic Boltzmann equation of the BGK type, the so-called Marle equation, and in Sect. III we discuss the physical implications that may be drawn from such set.

II. LINEARIZED RELATIVISTIC HYDRODYNAMICS

To obtain the above mentioned set of linear relativistic equations for a simple viscous fluid we start by considering the special relativistic Boltzmann equation in the absence of external forces:

$$v^{\alpha} f_{,\alpha} = J\left(f,\, f'\right) \tag{2}$$

The term on the right hand side of Eq. (2) is the collision operator which in general is a bilinear form containing the products of the distribution function f evaluated before and after a collision takes place. When one introduces the probability for the occurrence of each one of these binary collisions, namely the cross section and integrates over all possible velocities for the particles, one obtains the general Boltzmann equation. Here, for the sake of simplicity, the kernel is modeled by means of the BGK approximation [12][13], namely

$$J\left(f,\, f'\right) = -\frac{f - f^{(0)}}{\tau} \tag{3}$$

This approximation consists in replacing all the details of such binary collisions by the relaxation time τ, which can be viewed then as an adjustable parameter in the theory. This assumption simplifies the ensuing arguments for which the full collisional term adds no significant changes. The molecular four velocity, v^{α} is

$$v^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma w^{\ell} \\ \gamma c \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

where w^{ℓ} is the molecular three-velocity and $\gamma = \left(1 - w^{\ell} w_{\ell}/c^2\right)^{-1/2}$ is the usual relativistic factor. All greek indices run from 1 to 4 and the latin ones run up to 3. The local variables are the weighted averages of the microscopic quantities. In this context, the number density reads

$$n = \int f \gamma dv^{*}\,, \tag{5}$$

and the thermodynamic average of a dynamical quantity ψ is defined through:

$$\langle \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \int \gamma \psi f dv^{*} \tag{6}$$

with $dv^{*} = \gamma^{5} \frac{c d^3 w}{v^4}$ [12]. Now, standard kinetic theory leads to the energy balance equation [13, 14]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(n \left\langle mc^2 \gamma \right\rangle\right) + \left(n \left\langle mc^2 \gamma w^{\ell} \right\rangle\right)_{;\ell} = 0 \tag{7}$$

In this expression one can easily identify the heat flux as:

$$J^{\ell}_{[Q]} = n \left\langle mc^2 v^{\ell} \right\rangle = mc^2 \int v^{\ell} f \gamma dv^{*} \tag{8}$$

which vanishes if the average is calculated using the equilibrium distribution function. At the Navier-Stokes level, following a Chapman-Enskog expansion, the distribution function can be written as

$$f = f^{(0)}\left(1 + \phi\right) \tag{9}$$

where ϕ is the first order correction in the Knudsen parameter, a weighted measure of the gradients in the system. For particles of rest mass m, relativistic parameter $z = \frac{kT}{mc^2}$ and in the non-degenerate case, the equilibrium function reads [13, 14]:

$$f^{(0)} = \frac{n}{4\pi c^3 z \mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \mathrm{Exp}\left(\frac{u^{\beta} v_{\beta}}{z c^2}\right)\,. \tag{10}$$

in which k is Boltzmann's constant, and $\mathcal{K}_n$ is the modified Bessel function of the n-th kind. Notice also that, if the fluid is at rest, $u^\beta v_\beta = -\gamma c^2$.

The function ϕ is obtained by a simple procedure. Direct substitution of Eq. (9) in Eq. (2) leads to

$$\phi = -\tau v^\alpha f^{(0)}_{,\alpha} = -\tau v^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial n} n_{,\alpha} + \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T} T_{,\alpha} + \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial u^\beta} u^\beta_{;\alpha} \right) \tag{11}$$

where the second equality is due to the fact that the distribution function is a time dependent functional of the local variables n, T and u^ν. The derivatives in Eq. (11) are given by

$$\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial n} = \frac{f^{(0)}}{n} \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial T} = \left(-1 + \frac{\gamma}{z} - \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} - \frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \right) \frac{f^{(0)}}{T} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial u^\beta} = \frac{v_\beta}{zc^2} f^{(0)} \tag{14}$$

Notice that a hydrodynamic acceleration contribution appears in the last term of Eq. (11) for $\alpha = 4$. If this term is left untouched and the heat flow is calculated with Eq. (8) one is led to the difficulty inherent in Eq. (1). On the other hand, according to the prescription of Hilbert-Enskog's method [12]-[14] this acceleration term is eliminated using Euler's equations, which precisely relate the acceleration to the pressure gradient. Moreover, this method establishes that by choosing the local variables, n, T and u^ν defined only through $f^{(0)}$, one guarantees the uniqueness of the solution given in Eq. (9). Consistently with the fact that we have chosen n, T and u^ν as the state variables describing the local thermodynamic states of the fluid, ∇p has to be expressed in terms of them. This is accomplished using the local equilibrium assumption which allows expressing ∇p in terms of ∇T and ∇n in

complete agreement with the tenets of classical irreversible thermodynamics namely,

$$\nabla p = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_n \nabla T + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\right)_T \nabla n \tag{15}$$

Finally, since for a simple inviscid fluid whose dynamics is described by Eq. (2), the equation of state $p = nkT$ holds true locally [13], a straightforward calculation leads to the following form for the heat flux

$$J^{\ell}_{[Q]} = -L_T \frac{T^{,\ell}}{T} - L_n \frac{n^{,\ell}}{n} \tag{16}$$

Equation (16) is of the canonical form required by LIT where the thermodynamic forces are the temperature and the density gradients, respectively. The transport coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_T \;=\; & \tau \frac{4\pi}{3} mc^7 \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{p}{zc^2\left(\frac{n\varepsilon}{c^2} + \frac{p}{c^2}\right)} \right) \int f^{(0)} \gamma^2 \left(\gamma^2 - 1\right)^{3/2} d\gamma \right. \\ & \left. - \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} + \frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \right) \int f^{(0)} \gamma \left(\gamma^2 - 1\right)^{3/2} d\gamma \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$L_n = \tau \frac{4\pi}{3} mc^7 \left\{ \int f^{(0)} \gamma \left(\gamma^2 - 1\right)^{3/2} d\gamma - \frac{p}{zc^2\left(\frac{n\varepsilon}{c^2} + \frac{p}{c^2}\right)} \int f^{(0)} \gamma^2 \left(\gamma^2 - 1\right)^{3/2} d\gamma \right\} \tag{18}$$

where ε is the internal energy per particle. The integrals in Eqs. (17) and (18) can be calculated in the comoving frame. Thus, the transport coefficients can be written as

$$L_T = nmc^4 z^2 \tau f_T(z) \qquad\qquad L_n = nmc^4 z^2 \tau f_n(z) \tag{19}$$

where the functions f_T and f_n are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(z) \;=\; & \left(\frac{1}{z} - \left(4z + \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \right)^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{z} + 5\frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \right) \\ & - \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} + \frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{2z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$f_n(z) = \frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{z\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)} - \left(4z + \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z} + 5\frac{\mathcal{K}_3\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{\mathcal{K}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}\right) \tag{21}$$

As has been shown elsewhere [15], in the non-relativistic limit, $f_T(z) \to 5/2$ and $f_n(z) \to 0$. Thus, the classical value $L_T = \frac{5}{2}\frac{nk^2T^2}{m}\tau$ is recovered for the thermal conductivity while the second term in Eq. (16) vanishes rendering it a completely relativistic effect [16].

The derivation of the relativistic hydrodynamic equations using Eq. (16) as the constitutive equation for the heat flux is a standard one and has been given in detail in various papers using Fourier's equation [5, 8, 11]. The additional term in ∇n poses absolutely no problem at all, so we simply state the final form for their linearized version namely,

$$\delta\dot{n} + n_0\delta\theta = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{c^2}\left(n_0\varepsilon_0 + p_0\right)\delta\dot{u}_\nu + \frac{1}{\kappa_T}\delta n_{,\nu} + \frac{1}{\beta\kappa_T}\delta T_{,\nu} \\ &-\zeta\delta\theta_{,\nu} - 2\eta\left(\delta\tau^\mu_\nu\right)_{;\mu} - \frac{L_T}{c^2}\delta\dot{T}_{,\nu} - \frac{L_n}{c^2}\delta\dot{n}_{,\nu} = 0\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$nC_n\delta\dot{T} + \left(\frac{T_0\beta}{\kappa_T}\right)\delta\theta - \left(L_T\delta T^{,k} + L_n\delta n^{,k}\right)_{;k} = 0 \tag{24}$$

where $\theta = u^\alpha_{;\alpha}$, ζ and η are the bulk and shear viscosity coefficients respectively, τ^μ_ν is the traceless symmetric part of the velocity gradient tensor, κ_T the isothermal compressibility, β the thermal expansion coefficient and C_n the specific heat at constant particle density. Nought subscripts denote equilibrium quantities and $\delta\theta$, δT and δu_ν denote the spontaneous fluctuations of the state variables ($\delta\theta$ is related to δn via Eq. (22)) around the equilibrium state of the fluid.

We remind the reader that this set of equations is the relativistic analog of the one obtained from classical irreversible thermodynamics to test the validity of the linear regression of fluctuations hypothesis introduced by Onsager over seventyfive years

ago [17, 18] as a basic ingredient required to derive his famous reciprocity relations. The fact that this assumption has been experimentally tested for a variety of systems [19] calls immediately for its relevance in the relativistic regime. To illustrate this point let us consider the fluctuations associated to the transverse mode of the velocity field u^ν. This mode is easily uncoupled from the rest of the fluctuations by taking the curl of Eq. (23). Since all the gradient terms disappear we are led to the result that

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\left(n_0\varepsilon_0 + p_0\right)\delta\dot{U}_\nu - 2\eta\nabla^2\delta U_\nu = 0 \tag{25}$$

where $\delta U_j = \epsilon^i_{jk} u^k_{;i}$ is the transverse mode. Taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (25) we get that

$$\delta\hat{U}_\nu\left(\vec{k},\, t\right) = \delta\hat{U}_\nu\left(\vec{k},\, 0\right)\mathrm{Exp}\left(-\frac{2\eta k^2 c^2}{n_0\varepsilon_0 + p_0}\right) \tag{26}$$

where $\delta\hat{U}_\nu\left(\vec{k},\, t\right)$ denotes the Fourier transform of δU_ν. Since the exponential's argument is always negative, the fluctuations die out with a characteristic time $\frac{(n_0\varepsilon_0+p_0)}{2\eta c^2 k^2}$. In the classical limit, since $\frac{n_0\varepsilon_0}{c^2} \to \rho_0$ and $\frac{p_0}{c^2}$ is negligible, the decay time reduces to $\frac{\rho_0}{2\eta k^2}$ in agreement with classical hydrodynamics. This result implies that the spontaneous fluctuations of such mode obey the linear regression hypothesis. Notice that, since the last two terms in Eq. (23) are precisely $\dot{J}^\ell_{[Q]}$, this exponential decay is due to the fact that the curl of this vector vanishes. Thus this result is independent of the equation of state (see Eq. (15)).

From the remaining equations, Eq. (22), the divergence of Eq. (23) and Eq. (24), one can get a set of coupled equations for δn and δT whose solution is related to the well known Rayleigh-Brillouin spectrum. A full discussion of this feature is too long and technical to be presented here and will be published elsewhere [20].

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Examination of the results obtained in the previous section manifestly indicate that Eq. (16) is the main result of this paper: it satisfies all the requirements imposed by classical irreversible thermodynamics and leads to a set of linearized hydrodynamic equations which satisfy Onsager's linear regression of fluctuations hypothesis. The crucial step was to eliminate the acceleration term appearing in Eq. (11) in terms of the pressure gradient through Euler's equations. This is a point that was overlooked by Eckart himself and later by some of his followers and critics [6]-[8]. Thus the term $\dot{u}_\nu$, which is not a force, has been replaced by a combination of gradients of the state variables. This substitution is necessary in order to arrive at a closed set of evolution equations by further using the constitutive equations, which are written in terms of such gradients. This is indeed the fundamental purpose of the constitutive equations. If $\dot{u}_\nu$ is considered a state variable the description of the system is overdetermined. This is precisely the main source of the objections raised against Eckart's theory which materialized in the appearance of the so called generic instabilities [8, 21] and presumably in giving rise to transport equations of the parabolic type. This last aspect however deserves a much closer attention and will not be addressed here. In fact, the curious reader may easily verify that if $\dot{u}_\alpha$ in Eq. (1) is expressed in terms of ∇p through Euler's equation all the difficulties mentioned above will be eliminated.

In kinetic theory things are quite different. Although, as mentioned in the text an acceleration term in Eq. (11) is present, it is automatically disposed off when one appeals to the Chapman-Enskog method for solving Boltzmann's equation. Indeed, when Eq. (9) is substituted in Eq. (2), the term to order zero in the gradients yields Euler's equations. Successively, the equation for the correction of order n in the gradients will have a solution if and only if the hydrodynamic equations of order

$n-1$ in the gradients hold true. This is the spirit of the original method of Hilbert to solve Boltzmann's equation and this is why the drift term in the n^{th} order equation which is already of order one in the gradients has to be computed with the solution of order $n-1$. This explains why the use of Euler's equations is demanded to calculate ϕ in Eq. (11). We should also emphasize that these results have been shown to hold for a relativistic Boltzmann equation with its full collision term [22] and further, from phenomenological arguments, to be valid for any arbitrary relativistic fluid [11].

Moreover, to fully grasp the relevance of this calculation we can compare Eq. (25) with its counterpart obtained in Ref. [8], in which the coupling of heat with acceleration is retained. In that case, the transverse velocity mode fluctuations satisfy the equation:

$$\frac{\kappa T_0}{c^4}\delta\ddot{U}_\nu - \frac{1}{c^2}\left(n_0\varepsilon_0 + p_0\right)\delta\dot{U}_\nu + 2\eta\nabla^2\delta U_\nu = 0 \tag{27}$$

After taking the Fourier-Laplace transform in this equation, we now obtain two roots governing the time behavior of the fluctuations. The calculation in a specific example, water at 300 K at one atmosphere leads to an extremely short characteristic time in the growing mode. The conclusion included in that paper states that first order theories should be discarded in favor of more complicated formalisms. Here we have shown that the apparent trivial replacement of acceleration with the pressure gradient while solving Boltzmann's equation, with the Chapman-Enskog method, leads to a nice exponentially decaying transverse velocity mode. Thus, the so-called generic instabilities are indeed absent in first order relativistic hydrodynamics. In addition to this application, we can assert that the calculation of the Rayleigh-Brillouin spectrum using the acceleration term leads to the unphysical result that such spectrum is inexistent. This has been fully discussed in Ref. [20].

There is a last comment which is pertinent in view of the results here presented.

As we said in the introduction, Eckart's formalism as well as all others which contain an entropy balance equation with an entropy flux is given by $J^{\ell}_{[Q]}/T$, have been referred to as "first order theories". Due to the so-called generic instabilities and the apparent violation of the principle of antecedence they where substituted by second order theories [7, 8, 22] which postulate that both the entropy density as well as the entropy flux should be functions of the heat flow and the viscous tensor. The point here is to remind the reader that the first order theories, if the above definition is kept, together with the ordinary linear force-flux relations lead, for a simple viscous fluid in the non-relativistic case, to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations of hydrodynamics. These equations in their full expression consist of a set of five non-linear coupled equations (first order in time derivatives and second order in spatial derivatives). Their linearized version is in total agreement with Onsager's regression assumption and only upon some drastic simplifications lead to transport equations of the parabolic type, such as Fourier's heat conduction equation. To assert that in the relativistic domain such theories are incomplete and/or incorrect is equivalent to asserting that there is no relativistic extension of the NSF equations. What we have proved in this paper is that this requires further study. What is the full and correct version of these equations may still be today a problem which has not been completely solved but already some attempts have been clearly discussed in the literature [24].

We believe that these considerations are important due to the enormous effort that has been made in the past few years to describe the hydrodynamics of the hot dense matter produced in heavy ion collisions. Some workers in this field are using the model proposed by second order theories or modified versions of it [25]-[31] which require the use of adjustable parameters [32]. As an example of another alternative to these theories there is Landau's hydrodynamics for hot dense matter based on Euler's equations. In an elegant paper by C. Y. Wong [33], this theory has been

recently shown to provide a very good explanation for the collective dynamics of the fluid that is produced in the relativistic collisions of heavy ions. If one would consider adding dissipative effects it is clear that the NSF equations would be the best candidate. Thus we conclude paraphrasing S. Weinberg's words "it is worth our while to develop the outlines of the general theory of a relativistic imperfect fluid" [34].

---

[1] C. Eckart, Phys. Rev. **58**, 919 (1940).

[2] L. S. Garcia-Colin and A. Sandoval-Villalbazo, J. Non-Equib. Thermodyn **31**, 11 (2006).

[3] A. Sandoval-Villalbazo and L. S. Garcia-Colin; "Light Scattering Test Regarding the Relativistic Nature of Heat"; Proc. XXVIII Spanish Rel. Meeting, Eds. L. Mornas and J. Diaz Alonso, AIP Conference Proceedings **841**, 603 (2006). arXiv: gr-qc/0511055.

[4] W. Muschik & H. von Borzeszkowsky, J. Non-Equib. Thermodyn. **32**, 181 (2007).

[5] L. S. Garcia-Colin and A. Sandoval-Villalbazo, J. Non-Equib. Thermodyn. **32**, 187 (2007).

[6] W. Israel, Ann. Phys. N. Y. **100**, 310 (1976).

[7] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, Ann. Phys. N. Y. **118,** 341 (1979).

[8] W. A. Hiscock and L. Lindblom, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 725 (1985).

[9] J. Meixner and H. Reik; *Thermodynamik der Irreversiblen Prozesse*, Handbuch der Physik Vol. III/2, S. Flügge Ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1959) pp. 413-538.

[10] S. R. de Groot and P. Mazur; *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, Dover Publ., Minneola NY (1984).

[11] A.L Garcia-Perciante, L. S. Garcia-Colin and A. Sandoval-Villalbazo, "On the nature of the so-called generic instabilities in dissipative relativistic hydrodynamics", Gen. Rel. Grav. (online first, 2009).

[12] R. L. Liboff y R. C. Liboff; *Kinetic theory: classical, quantum and relativistic descriptions*, Springer, Berlin (2003).

[13] C. Cercignani y G. Medeiros Kremer; *The relativistic Boltzmann equation: theory and applications*, Birkhäuser, Berlin (2002).

[14] S. R. de Groot, W. A. van Leeuwen & Ch. G. van Weert; *Relativistic Kinetic Theory*, North Holland, Netherlands (1980).

[15] A. Sandoval-Villalbazo, A. L. Garcia-Perciante and L. S. Garcia-Colin; Proceedings of the Spanish Relativity Meeting 2008, AIP Conference Proceedings (in press).

[16] It is interesting to notice that this result was already obtained by Landau and Lifshitz by a completely different method. See L. Landau and E. Lifshitz; *Fluid Mechanics*, Ch. XV sect. 136, Pergamon Press, London-N.Y. (1958).

[17] L. Onsager; Phys. Rev. **37**, 405 (1931); ibid **38**, 2265 (1931).

[18] H. G. B. Casimir; Rev. Mod. Phys. **17**, 343 (1945).

[19] S. R. de Groot; J. Math. Phys. **4**, 147 (1963).

[20] A. L. Garcia-Perciante, L. S. Garcia-Colin and A. Sandoval-Villalbazo; Phys. Rev. E (to be published).

[21] W. A. Hiscock and L. Linblom; Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3723 (1987).

[22] A. L. Garcia-Perciante, A. Sandoval-Villalbazo and L. S. Garcia-Colin; Physica A **387**, 5073 (2008).

[23] D. Jou, J. Casas-Vazquez and G. Lebon; *Extended Irreversible Thermodynamics* 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997).

[24] A. Sandoval-Villalbazo and L. S. Garcia-Colin; Physica A **234**, 358 (1996), ibid **240**, 480 (1997).

[25] T. Koide, G. S. Denicot, Ph. Mota and T. Kodama; Phys. Rev. C **75**, 034909 (2007).

[26] H. Song; Phys. Rev. C **77**, 064901 (2008).

[27] M. Luzum and P. Romatschke; Phys. Rev. C **78**, 034915 (2008).

[28] R. Baier, P. Romatschke and U. A. Wiedermann; Phys. Rev. C **73**, 064903 (2006).

[29] B. Betz, D. Henkel and D. H. Riscke; Proc. Erice School on Nuclear Physics (Sept. 2008).

[30] U. W. Heinz and H. Song; J. Phys. G **35**, 104126 (2008).

[31] U. W. Heinz, H. Song and A. K. Chaudhuri; Phys. Rev. C **73**, 034904 (2006).

[32] L. S. Garcia-Colin; Mol. Phys. **86**, 697 (1995).

[33] C. Y. Wong; Phys. Rev. C **78**, 054902 (2008) and refs. therein.

[34] S. Weinberg, "*Gravitation and Cosmology*" pp. 53, Wiley and Sons, NY (1972).